





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/468,471		12/21/1999	VINCENT DIVINO, JR.	THOX:00211	3676
27405	7590	08/05/2003			
THEROX,		DD1115	EXAMINER		
2400 MICHELSON DRIVE IRVINE, CA 92612				BIANCO, PATRICIA	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3762	
				DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P	$\overline{}$
C	<u></u>

·	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	09/468,471	DIVINO, JR. ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patricia M Bianco	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	January 2003						
<u></u>							
	is action is non-final.	reconstitute and to the modification					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-50 and 52-78</u> is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>56-67</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-50, 52-55, 68-78</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>17 Jan</u>	nuary 2003 is: a)⊠ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
application from the International Bu	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) ction .					

1

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to applicant's amendment filed January 17th, 2003, claims 1 & 51 were cancelled; claims 41, 68 & 69 were amended; the abstract was amended; and portions of the specification were amended. Corrections to the drawings were also submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 41-45, 47, 48, 52-55, 68, 69 & 75-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Love (3,142,296). Love discloses a liquid-to-liquid blood oxygenation assembly and method of use. The mixing chamber (1) has two inlets, (3) for the delivery of blood and (24) for the delivery of oxygen, and an outlet (30) for the oxygenated blood. The blood enters the chamber at point (4) and moves circularly or vortically to a pooling region. The interior of the chamber is pressurized. The gas dissolves in the blood thereby creating a gas-enriched fluid having a greater concentration of gas than the blood did originally. The inlet for the oxygen is perpendicular to that of the blood inlet.

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 49, 50, 70-74 & 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Love ('296) in view of Grady (5,084,011). Love substantially discloses the invention as claimed, see explanation above, except for specifically teaching that the gas enriched fluid is hyperoxic, hyperbaric, and that the pressure the fluids are mixed at is greater than 760 mmHg.

Grady teaches of a system and method for oxygenating blood extracorporeally.

The system includes a vessel, having a chamber for holding the fluids, for mixing a gas and a fluid under pressure. Grady teaches that the chamber may be maintained at about 4 atm pressure (i.e. 3040 mmHg), a pressure greater than 760 mmHg. With

Art Unit: 3762

respect to claims 42-45, the supersaturated fluid used is oxygen gas, which inherently has a gas and a liquid phase, and oxygen is well known to be isotonic to blood. With respect to claims 48, 50, and 70-72, Grady teaches that the blood may be hyperbaric (greater than 760 mmHg) after being enriched with the supersaturated solution. (See entire disclosure including the figures) At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Love to pressurize the chamber since it is well known that increased pressures increase the saturation level of the liquid.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Love ('296) in view of Spears (5,693,017). Love substantially discloses the invention as claimed, see explanation above, except for using saline as the fluid that is supersaturated in the supersaturated fluid supply of the system and wherein the fluid is supersaturated under pressure within the mixing device.

Spears teaches of using saline as the fluid to be saturated with a gas in an apparatus and method for delivering supersaturated solutions to a delivery site. The supersaturated fluid supply is made in a vessel that is under pressure. The dissolving of oxygen or other gas under pressure ensures that no bubbles are in the fluid prior to delivery to the patient.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Love such that saline is used as the fluid to be supersaturated with oxygen and that the saturation is done under pressure. The use of saline is well known in the

Art Unit: 3762

art since saline is isotonic with blood. Dissolving a gas under pressure ensures that no

bubbles are in the fluid prior to delivery to the patient to ensure that no embolism are

formed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning the rejections contained within this communication or

earlier communications should be directed to examiner Tricia Bianco whose telephone

number is (703) 305-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Fridays, alternating Fridays off, from 9:00 AM until 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The official fax numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302

for regular communications and for After Final communications (703) 872-9303.

Tricia Bianco Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

Page 5

pmb Arbima July 31st, 2003